UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

IN RE: SIG SAUER

PRODUCTS LIAIBLITY LITIGATION

PLAINTIFF NAME PLAINTIFF ADDRESS

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG SAUER, INC.
72 Pease Boulevard

Newington, New Hampshire 03801

Defendant.

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CASE NO.

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) file this Short Form Complaint seeking judgment against Defendant, Sig Sauer, Inc., for injuries suffered as a result of [Insert name of Injured Person] experiencing and/or witnessing an unintended discharge of a Sig Sauer pistol.¹

Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained within the Long Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial under ECF No. 28 in Case No.: 22-cv-536-JL, *Armendariz v. Sig Sauer, Inc.*, filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire and assigned to Judge Joseph N. LaPlante (hereafter, the "Long Form Complaint"). Plaintiff files this Short Form Complaint in compliance with this Court's Order, ECF No.

The only pistols involved in the Sig Sauer Products Liability Litigation are the P320 and its variants, including the M17 and M18.

I. ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL PLAINTIFFS

1.	Plaintiff(s)	incorporate	by	reference	Paragraphs	1 –	152	in	the	Long	Form
Complaint as	if set forth fu	ılly herein.									

II	JURISD	ICTION	AND	VENUE

	2.	Plainti	iff(s) allege the basis for jurisdiction and venue as follows:	
			As alleged in the Long Form Complaint; AND/OR	
			Other:	
III.	IN	DIVID	OUAL ALLEGATIONS	
	3.	The I	Injured Person ("Plaintiff") is	an adult
individ	lual	(s) who	ose current address is	
		a.	Name of current spouse and current street address, city, and state: _	
		b.	Date of marriage to spouse or widow(er) (if applicable):	
	4.	The na	amed Plaintiff(s) in this lawsuit is/are:	
			The Injured Person ("Plaintiff")	
			The Plaintiff's spouse (Plaintiff's spouse is the "LOC Plaintiff")	
	5.	The in	ncident in which Plaintiff was injured by a Sig Sauer pistol ("Incident") occurred
on			·	
	6.	The ty	pe of Sig Sauer Pistol that resulted in Plaintiff's injury ("Subject Pist	ol") was a
			·	
	7.	The se	erial number of the Subject Pistol is:	
			Presently unknown.	

	8.	The Su	abject Pistol was:
			Purchased from a retail establishment
			Purchased privately
			Issued to the Plaintiff by his/her employer
	9.	The	e type of unintended discharge occurring during the Incident which resulted in
Plainti	ff's	injury v	vas a:
			Discharge while in holster
			Discharge outside of holster
			Drop Fire
	10.	At the	time of the Incident, Plaintiff was a:
			A law enforcement officer
			A law enforcement officer and on duty
			A civilian
	11.	Pla	intiff alleges that he/she was injured when, on the date of the Incident, Plaintiff's
Subjec	ct Pis	stol disc	charged without an intentional trigger pull.
	12.	As	a result of the Incident, Plaintiff suffered injuries included but not limited to the
follow	ing_		
IV.	CL	AIMS	/ COUNTS
	13.	CC	OUNT I – NEGLIGENCE (Plaintiff v. Sig Sauer)
			Plaintiff adopts and alleges the same negligence theory in Paragraphs 319 –
			326 in the Long Form Complaint as applied to this Plaintiff.

Plaintiff alleges an additional negligence theory of liability against Sig Sauer
which is attached hereto.

14. COUNT II – STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY (*Plaintiff v. Sig Sauer*)

- Plaintiff adopts and alleges the same negligence theory in Paragraphs 327 –
 332 in the Long Form Complaint as applied to this Plaintiff.
- □ Plaintiff alleges an additional negligence theory of liability against Sig Sauer which is attached hereto.

15. COUNT III – LOSS OF CONSORTIUM (LOC Plaintiff v. Sig Sauer)

- ☐ At the time of the Incident, LOC Plaintiff was the spouse of Plaintiff.
- As a result of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, LOC Plaintiff has been and will continue to be deprived of the love, assistance, companionship, consortium and society of his/her spouse.

16. **ADDITIONAL COUNTS**

- a. If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Sig Sauer are alleged, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to the Short Form Complaint.
- b. Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional theories against the Defendant(s)listed in paragraph 4:
- c. Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional theories against other Defendant(s):

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) demands judgment in his/her/their favor and against Defendant for compensatory and enhanced compensatory damages, together with lawful interest, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and all other claims for damages available by law in this Short Form Complaint and in Plaintiff(s)' Long Form Complaint, ECF No. 28 with Case No.: 22-cv-536-JL, *Armendariz v. Sig Sauer, Inc.*, filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire.

Respectfully submitted,

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

		DOUGLAS, LEONARD & GARVEY, P.C.
		and
		rhurd@smbb.com
		shaaz@smbb.com
		rzimmerman@smbb.com
		lbendesky@smbb.com
		rmongeluzzi@smbb.com
		(215) 496-8282
		Philadelphia, PA 19103
		1650 Market Street
		One Liberty Place, 52 nd Floor
		RYAN D. HURD, PA Bar #205955, pro hac vice
		SAMUEL A. HAAZ, PA Bar #314507, pro hac vice
		ROBERT W. ZIMMERMAN, PA Bar #208410, pro hac vice
		LARRY BENDESKY, PA Bar # 51026, pro hac vice
Jaic.	Бу.	ROBERT MONGELUZZI, PA Bar #36283, pro hac vice
Date:	By:	/s/ Robert Zimmerman

By: /s/ Benjamin T King

Date:

BENJAMIN T. KING, NH Bar #12888 14 South Street, Suite 5 Concord, NH 03301 (603) 224-1988

benjamin@nhlawoffice.com